Karyn Le Hur

Centre de Physique Theorique, Ecole Polytechnique and CNRS

4 classes Saclay Lectures Series: 1h30 each

Institut d'Optique Graduate School

- <u>Class I</u>: Quantum Geometry, Information and Topological Physics from Bloch Sphere (June 9)
- <u>Class II</u>: Application Topological Lattice Models and Quantum Matter (June 16)
- <u>Class III</u>: Applications in Transport and Light-Matter Interaction (June 23)
- <u>Class IV</u>: Entangled WaveFunction and Fractional Topology (June 30)

karyn.le-hur@polytechnique.edu

Topological lattice model from a simple matrix

2D: Graphene, Honeycomb lattice Quantum Hall Effect Haldane Model Quantum Spin Hall effect Interactions

Relations ? with class 1? Geometry

One-dimensional Model : Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model

Superconductor and Majorana fermions

Applications

sp2 hybridization in graphene











<u>Useful</u>

For pencils For tennis Rackets For bicycles... For photo-synthesis



Yet be careful

For the planet

with "gas" emission



Within graphite, 2s and 2p orbitals undergo a sp2 hybridization

The geometry of the hybridized orbital is trigonal planar: 3 nearest neighbors





The last p-orbital forms the π -orbital

Diamond : sp3 hybridization

Picture from Ph. Kim

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = rac{a}{2}(3, -\sqrt{3})$$

 $\mathbf{b}_2 = -rac{a}{2}(3, \sqrt{3})$
 $\mathbf{b}_3 = (0, \sqrt{3}a)$

Special about honeycomb lattice

1 plane of graphene (3D graphite; present research 2 planes and Moire magic angles...)

2 Triangular lattices from Translation Operators in two dimensions defined through the Bravais lattice vectors \mathbf{a}_i and equivalently \mathbf{b}_i : `2 sublattices'

Within these definitions:

$$\vec{s}_{1} = \frac{\alpha}{2} (1_{1}\sqrt{3}), \quad \vec{s}_{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2} (1_{1} - \sqrt{3}), \quad \vec{s}_{3} = (-\alpha_{1}, 0)$$



Special honeycomb lattice: 2*2 Matrix Model

$$\psi_{j\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_m} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} \Phi_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_m)$$

The restricted Bloch wave is

$$\psi_{j\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_m} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} \Phi_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_m)$$

From Bloch theorem, $\psi(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u(\mathbf{r})$ with $u(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j c_j \Phi_j(\mathbf{r})$. The functions Φ are centered at a site \mathbf{R}_m — meaning that $\Phi_j(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_m)$ refers to an electronic wave-function around the site \mathbf{R}_m — and periodic if we apply the translation operator of vector \mathbf{b}_j . From symmetries, the honeycomb lattice can be viewed as formed with two triangular lattices made of A and B sites respectively. In this description, \underline{N} represents the number of A or B sites and a particle has equal probabilities to occupy a site such that $c_j = 1/\sqrt{N}$.

From the definitions, the Hamiltonian takes the form¹

$$H = -t \sum_{\mathbf{R}_m} \sum_{\delta_j} |\Phi(\mathbf{R}_m)\rangle \langle \Phi(\mathbf{R}_m + \delta_j)| + h.c.$$

After Fourier transform, the Hamiltonian takes the form $H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} H(\mathbf{k})$ with

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} = -t \sum_{\delta_j} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_j} |\psi_{A\mathbf{k}}\rangle \langle \psi_{B\mathbf{k}}| + h.c.$$



i = AorBsites

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t \sum_{\delta_j} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_j} \\ -t \sum_{\delta_j} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Close to the Dirac points

$$E^2 = t^2 \left(\sum_{\delta_j} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_j} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\delta_j} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_j} \right)$$

$$k_x = K_x + p_x = \frac{2\pi}{3a} + p_x$$
$$k_y = K_y + p_y = \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}a} + p_y$$

Wallace, 1947



$$E^2\approx \frac{9}{4}(ta)^2(p_x^2+p_y^2)$$

implying

$$v_F = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E}{\partial |\mathbf{p}|} = \frac{3}{2\hbar} ta$$
$$\approx |O^{f} m/\Delta \ll C$$

$$E(p) = \pm \hbar v_F |\mathbf{p}|$$





A. Bostwick et al.Nature Physics **3** 36 (2007)Photoemission

g₂ Similar Dirac points in high-Tc Superconductors

Linear energy dispersion



Useful Review:

Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).

6 electrons in carbon: 2 in s1, 3 in sp2, 1 in p_z



<u>Question:</u> Where is E_F for graphene?

Answer:

E_F=0 Graphene is a semimetal

The <u>isospin</u> σ (helicity) acts on each branch (sublattice)

K. Von Klitzing, G. Dorda, M. Pepper





 $\begin{array}{c} -i\hbar\nabla \to -i\hbar\nabla -qA_x \\ A_x = -B_y \\ A_y = 0 \end{array}$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\hbar v_F \partial_x + v_F q B y - \zeta \hbar v_F \partial_y \\ -i\hbar v_F \partial_x + v_F q B y + \zeta \hbar v_F \partial_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\begin{cases} \xi = +1, K \\ \xi = -1, K' \end{cases}$

The solutions take the form

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = e^{ikx}\Phi(y),$$

where $\Phi(y)$ associated to the spinor $|\Phi_A(y), \Phi_B(y)\rangle$. Therefore,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hbar v_F k + v_F q B y - \zeta \hbar v_F \partial_y \\ \hbar v_F k + v_F q B y + \zeta \hbar v_F \partial_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{split} l_B &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{|q|B}} & H = \hbar\omega_c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l_B \zeta \partial_y + \left(kl_B - \frac{y}{l_B}\right) \\ l_B \zeta \partial_y + \left(kl_B - \frac{y}{l_B}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $\hat{r} = -\frac{y}{l_B} + k l_B$ $-i\hbar \partial_r = l_B (i\hbar \partial_y)$ such that $[\hat{r}, -i\hbar \partial_r] = i\hbar$

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{r} + \partial_r \right) = \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_{K'}^{\dagger}$$
$$\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{r} - \partial_r \right) = \mathcal{O}_K^{\dagger} = \mathcal{O}_{K'}$$

 $[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}]=1$

$$H = \hbar \omega_c^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \\ \mathcal{O} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \omega_c^* \left(\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \sigma^+ + \mathcal{O} \sigma^- \right).$$

 $\omega_{c}^{*} = \sqrt{2} \omega_{c}$

It is also useful to introduce $\hat{N} = \hat{C}$

$$\hat{N} = \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_{A}(N)$$

$$\overline{\Phi}_{B}(N-1)$$

$$\bar{\hbar}\omega_{c}^{*}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}\Phi_{A}(r) = E\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}\Phi_{B}(r) = \frac{E^{2}}{\hbar\omega_{c}^{*}}\Phi_{A}(r)$$

$$E_{\pm}(N) = \pm\hbar\omega_{c}^{*}\sqrt{N}$$

$$\hbar\omega_{c}^{*}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}\Phi_{B} = E_{\pm}(N)\mathcal{O}\Phi_{A}(N) = \frac{E_{\pm}^{2}}{\hbar\omega_{c}^{*}}\Phi_{B}$$



Analogy charged particle in GaAs and drift velocity

$$\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{r}^2 - \partial_r^2 + [\hat{r}, \partial_r]) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{r}^2 - \partial_r^2 - 1).$$

$$\frac{\hbar\omega_c^*}{2}(\hat{r}^2 - \partial_r^2 + [\hat{r}, \partial_r]) \Phi_A(y) = \frac{\hbar\omega_c^*}{2}(\hat{r}^2 - \partial_r^2 - 1) \Phi_A(y) = \frac{E^2}{\hbar\omega_c^*} \Phi_A(y)$$

$$\hat{H}_{eff} \Phi_A(r) = \left(\frac{E^2}{\hbar\omega_c^*} + \frac{\hbar\omega_c^*}{2}\right) \Phi_A(r) = \hbar\omega_c^*\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi_A(r)$$

$$-eV(y) = eEy$$

$$m = \frac{\hbar}{\omega_c^* l_B^2}$$

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + eEy + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_c^*\left(y - l_B^2k\right)^2$$

$$k \to k - \frac{eE}{m\omega_c^* 2l_B^2}$$

$$\langle v_x \rangle = \frac{\hbar k}{m} = -\frac{E}{B}.$$

This velocity can be justified from physical arguments. If we include both a Coulomb and Lorentz force for a charge q, $q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$ then this is equivalent to modify the electric field along y direction such that $E_y \rightarrow E_y - v_x B$. (Karyn Le Hur, Review ArXiv:2209.15381)

Simple estimation of quantum Hall conductivity

$$\int_{a}^{b} x = n \cdot e |\langle \sqrt{f_{x}} \rangle| = \frac{n \cdot e}{B} = \frac{N_e \cdot e}{B \cdot A}$$

$$N_e = n \cdot A \text{ with } A = L_x L_y$$
We assume (2N+1) filled energy Landau levels
$$j_{\perp} = j_x = \frac{2(2N+1)N}{A} \frac{E}{B}e$$

$$\mathcal{N} : de generacy cyclotron orbits
cyclotron orbits coordinate $y_0 = kl_B^2$.
$$\mathcal{N} = L_x \int_0^{|k| \max} \frac{d|k|}{2\pi}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{ABe}{2\pi\hbar} = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$$

$$j_{\perp} = j_x = \frac{2(2N+1)N}{A} \frac{E}{B}e = \frac{2(2N+1)e^2}{\hbar}E$$
,
$$K. \text{ Novoselov, A. K. Geim}$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d|k|}{2\pi}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{ABe}{2\pi\hbar} = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d|k|}{2\pi}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{ABe}{2\pi\hbar} = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}$$$$

n (10¹² cm⁻²)

Agrees with lattice calculations and Hofstadter model (Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009))

Correspondence Bloch sphere
$$(k_{\gamma}, k_{\chi}) \rightarrow (\theta, \varphi)$$

 $-d(\cos \varphi \sin \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \theta) = (v_F |\mathbf{p}| \cos \tilde{\varphi}, v_F |\mathbf{p}| \sin(\zeta \tilde{\varphi}), -\zeta m).$
 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = |\mathbf{d}| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = |\mathbf{d}| \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta e^{-i\varphi} \\ \sin \theta e^{i\varphi} & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$
 $|\psi_{+}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\psi_{-}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi}{2}} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$
 $\mathcal{A} \varphi = -i \langle \mathcal{H} | \partial \varphi | \mathcal{H} \rangle$
 $\tan \theta = \frac{v_F |\mathbf{p}|}{m}$
 $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \pm \pi$
Eigenstates \mathcal{H} associated to energy $-\mathcal{H} | \mathbf{d} |$
Topology from Electromagnetism on the Sphere & quantum physics
Related to quest of Dirac monopoles and Skyrmions (P. Curie, 1894; P. Dirac 1931)
Relation with physics of planets
 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} \varphi (\pi) - \mathcal{A} \varphi (\theta)$



http://www.physics.rutgers.edu/pythtb/

Haldane Model 1988

Realized in quantum materials, graphene, ultra-cold atoms, light systems

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}$$

$$\mathbf{d} = (t \sum_{\delta_j} \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_j), t \sum_{\delta_j} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_j), \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{f}_2 \mathbf{f} \sum_{\mathbf{b}_j} \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}_j)).$$

$$+ d_z(\mathbf{K}) = 2t_2 \sum_{\mathbf{b}_j} \sin(\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{b}_j) = 3\sqrt{3}t_2 = m$$

$$+ d_z(\mathbf{K}') = 2t_2 \sum_{\mathbf{b}_j} \sin(\mathbf{K}' \cdot \mathbf{b}_j) = -3\sqrt{3}t_2 = -m.$$

The non-trivial topology of the Bloch bands translates to a nonzero winding number of the map $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{d}/|\mathbf{d}|$ from the torus (the first Brillouin zone) to the unit sphere.

F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2015 (1988)



Haldane model of Light

Other reviews I. Carusotto & C. Ciuti Lu, Johannpoulos, Soljacic T. Ozawa, H. Price, A. Amo et al. 2018



Figure from KLH, Henriet, Petrescu, Plekhanov, Roux, Schiro Académie of Sciences 2016

Karyn Le Hur, Review ArXiv:2209.15381

Interaction Effects : Simple Understanding

Path-Integral Approach

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{V} &= V \sum_{i,p} \left(n_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(n_{i+p} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= V \sum_{i,p,r} \eta_{r} \left(c_{i}^{\dagger} \sigma_{i,i+p}^{r} c_{i+p} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{V}{2} \sum_{i,p} \left(c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i} + c_{i+p}^{\dagger} c_{i+p} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Generalization of H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2462 (1990)

Choice of parameters matter when applying a variational approach $-\eta_0 = \eta_x = \eta_y = \eta_z = -\frac{1}{8}.$

$$\begin{split} \left\{ \begin{split} & \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{\lambda}) \quad \text{invariance} \quad \mathcal{Z} = \int \mathrm{D}(\Psi, \Psi^{\dagger}, \phi^{0}, \phi^{x}, \phi^{y}, \phi^{z}) e^{-\mathcal{S}}, \\ & \mathcal{S} = \int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d}\tau \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\partial_{\tau} + h_{0}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, q, p} \Psi^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{q}} h_{V}(\mathbf{k}, q, p) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, r} 6V \phi^{r}_{\mathbf{k}} \phi^{r}_{-\mathbf{k}}, \end{split}$$

where the interaction density matrix reads

$$\vec{k} - \vec{q} = 0 \qquad h_{V}(k, q, p) = V \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(k-q) \cdot a_{p}} \left(i\phi_{k-q}^{0} + \phi_{k-q}^{z} \right) - \frac{1}{2} & e^{\frac{i}{2}(k+q) \cdot a_{p}} \left(\phi_{k-q}^{x} - i\phi_{k-q}^{y} \right) \\ e^{-\frac{i}{2}(k+q) \cdot a_{p}} \left(\phi_{k-q}^{x} + i\phi_{k-q}^{y} \right) & e^{\frac{i}{2}(k-q) \cdot a_{p}} \left(i\phi_{k-q}^{0} - \phi_{k-q}^{z} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\omega \rightarrow 0 \qquad \text{Variational approach ground state}$$

S. Capponi ED

$$\sqrt{c \sim 1.38 t_1}$$
 $\frac{4}{3} = 1.33 \cdots$
 $t_2 \rightarrow 0$ $\frac{3}{3} = 1.33 \cdots$

Stochastic View of Interactions

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm mf}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(k) - 3V(\phi^0 + \frac{1}{2}) & -g(k) \\ -g^*(k) & -\gamma(k) - 3V(\phi^0 + \frac{1}{2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = 3V\phi^z - 2t_2 \sum_p \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}_p),$$

$$g(\mathbf{k}) = [t_1 - V(\phi^x + i\phi^y)] \sum_p \left(\cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_p) - i\sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_p)\right).$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi^z) = \mathcal{F}_0 + \alpha(\phi^z)^2 + \beta(\phi^z)^4 + \gamma(\phi^z)^6,$$



Agree with ED calculations at Maryland (V. Galitski and collaborators, 2010)



Philipp Klein, Adolfo Grushin, Karyn Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B 2021

Topological Insulators (TI) & Quantum Spin Hall Effect (QSH)



Interaction Effects + Mott : S. Rachel and K. Le Hur (2010) [*]; W. Wu et al. (*, CDMFT, 2012); F. Assaad et al. (**. 2010, QMC) Analytical Solution of Mott Transition [***] J. Hutchinson, Ph. Klein, K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B 104, 075120 (2021)



Peng Cheng, Philipp Klein, K. Plekhanov, K. Sengstock, M. Aidelsburger, C. Weitenberg and Karyn Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B 100, 08110 (R) (2019). Collaboration with Munich and Hamburg.



Topological states in one dimension?

Felicien Appas, Stage PRL Ecole Polytechnique 2017

Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model of polyacetylene

Review:

J. K. Asboth, L. Oroszlany, and A. Palyi, A Short Course on Topological Insulators (Springer, 2016).

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{m=1}^{N} v \hat{a}_m^{\dagger} \hat{b}_m + w \hat{a}_m^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{m-1} + h.c$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \sum_{k} \left[(v + w e^{-ikl}) \hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{k} + (v + w e^{ikl}) \hat{b}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k} (\hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger}, \hat{b}_{k}^{\dagger}) \hat{H}(k) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_{k} \\ \hat{b}_{k} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{H}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v + we^{ikl} \\ v + we^{-ikl} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h(k) \\ h^*(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E(k) = \pm \sqrt{v^2 + w^2 + 2vw\cos kl} \equiv \pm \epsilon(k)$$





Su-Schrieffer-Heeger Model: Strong-Coupling Limit of Polyacetylene T. Goren, K. Plekhanov, F. Appas, KLH arXiv:1711.02034 and PRB RC 2018



Various measurements of LDOS and edge wave functions : weak-couplingP. St Jean et al. 2017 (Jacqueline Bloch & Alberto Amo)C. Poli, M. Bellec et al. Nature 2015 (Lancaster and Nice)E. J. Meier et al. (Brice Gadway's group), Nature comm. 2016



Similar implementations at Wurzburg, L. Molenkamp's group, Impedance measurements in circuits And Zurich, S. Huber, T. Neupert; Recent experiment in Boulder, Zak phase

| $\gamma_k^{\pm} = \psi_k^{\pm} \cdot (a_k, a_{-k}^{\dagger}, b_k, b_{-k}^{\dagger})$ | Zak Pha | Se Berry phase |
|---|---------|--|
| $\begin{split} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\pm e^{i\varphi(k)} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} \right) \\ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} &= (\cosh \eta_{k}^{\pm}, \sinh \eta_{k}^{\pm}), \end{split}$ | | $\phi_{Zak} = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi_k^{\dagger} * \partial_k \psi_k dk$ |

Symmetries (inversion, sub-lattice) and « symplectic » properties of Bogoliubov transformation (similar to measurements in cold atoms, M. Atala et al. (2013))

$$\phi_{Zak} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial_k \varphi(k) dk}{\partial k} = \begin{cases} 1 & v < w & \text{topologica} \\ 0 & v > w & \text{trivial} \end{cases}$$



Bogoliubov Transformation: Vacuum is not the Vacuum...

Squeezing (capacitance model below the super-radiant transition)...

$$|GS\rangle = \prod_{k} \exp\left(-\tanh \eta_{k}^{+} \alpha_{k}^{\dagger} \alpha_{-k}^{\dagger} - \tanh \eta_{k}^{-} \beta_{k}^{\dagger} \beta_{-k}^{\dagger}\right)|0\rangle$$
$$\alpha_{k}/\beta_{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\pm e^{i\varphi(k)} a_{k} + b_{k}\right)$$

$$\langle a_n^{\dagger} a_n \rangle = \langle b_n^{\dagger} b_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sinh^2 \eta_k^+ + \sinh^2 \eta_k^-$$

$$\underbrace{v, w \ll \epsilon_0}_{2\epsilon_0^2} \quad \frac{v^2 + w^2}{2\epsilon_0^2}$$

T. Karzig, C.-E. Bardyn, N. Lindner, G. Refael PRX 2015

"Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit" Schuster, Houck et al Nature 2007

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-\dagger}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma_{k}^{-}$$

$$(k)\gamma_{k}^{-}\gamma$$

$$H = \sum_{k} \epsilon_{\pm} (k) \gamma_{k}^{\pm \dagger} \gamma_{k}^{\pm} + \epsilon_{-} (k) \gamma_{k}^{\pm}$$
$$\epsilon_{\pm} (k) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{0}^{2} \pm 2\epsilon_{0} h(k)}$$

$$h(k)e^{i\varphi(k)} \equiv v + w^{-ik}$$
$$\varphi(k) = \arg(v + w^{-ikl})$$

$$\tanh 2\eta_k^{\pm} = \pm \frac{h(k)}{\epsilon_0 \pm h(k)}$$

$$\gamma_k^{\pm} = \pm \frac{e^{i\varphi(k)}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\cosh \eta_k^{\pm} a_k + \sinh \eta_k^{\pm} a_{-k}^{\dagger}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\cosh \eta_k^{\pm} b_k + \sinh \eta_k^{\pm}\right)$$

Topological Measurement with Light



Measurements of impedance at Wurzburg, L. Molenkamp's group on LC devices (theory Ronny Thomale's group)

Colorado Boulder: K. Lehnert's group

Eric Rosenthal et al. arXiv:1802.02243



2001 Kitaev p-wave Superconductor

with $\epsilon_k = -t \cos k - \mu$ the kinetic energy and $\tilde{\Delta}_k = -i\Delta e^{i\phi} \sin k$ the Fourier-transformed pairing potential. The





Majorana Fermion at an edge stabilized from an impurity

Emery-Kivelson solution of the "2-channel" Kondo model (1992): simple view Nozieres & Blandin 1980

$$H = H_{kin} + J \left[\psi(0) + \psi(0) \right] \hat{a}$$

1 free Major and fermion
$$x=0$$

 $G_{b} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} T = \langle b(\tau) b(0) \rangle$
 $\operatorname{Simp} = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$

1D Superconductor
Quasiparticles
$$e^{\pm i}P^{x}$$

 $\frac{g_{ro} - energy}{f} = 0$ $P = \frac{\pm i \Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $E_{p} = 0$ $P = \frac{\pm i \Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $E_{p} = 0$ $P = \frac{\pm i \Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $E_{p} = 0$ $E_{p} = \frac{\pm i \Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $E_{p} = \frac{\pm i \Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$

1

class I

Hur, Europhys. Lett., 49 (6), pp. 768-774 (2000)

charge: Superfluid Spin: X