Low-dimensional correlated electron Systems

Karyn Le Hur, Yale University

Boulder Summer School, 2008





Brief history of electrons

- 1897: Discovery of the electron by Thomson
 Charge measurement of the electron by Millikan
 "oil droplet experiment"
- 1900: Theory of metals by Drude

 $j = \sigma E$ and $\sigma = n e^2 T/m$ and mean-free path l=vT

classical partition of energy gives $mv^2/2 = 3k_BT/2$ v=0 at T=0?

Quantum mechanics...

• Electrons fill successive energy states in pairs of opposite spins, up to the Fermi energy $\sim E_F$

Sommerfeld, Theory of Metals (1928)

Only electrons within $k_B T$ of E_F

participate in the transport

 $v_F^2 = 2E_F/m \sim n^{2/3}$

 $(v_{\rm F} \sim 3.10^8 \, {\rm cm/s})$

Pauli exclusion



Fermi gas



Invention of Transistor, 1947



1947: Invention of the Transistor

Bell Laboratory, William Schokley, John Bardeen, Walter Brattain

! Small angles



$$\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{R}\left(\varepsilon\right) = -\frac{2}{\left(2\pi\right)^{D+1}}\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}d\omega\int d^{d}q\mathrm{Im}G^{R}\left(\varepsilon-\omega,\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}\right)\mathrm{Im}V^{R}\left(\omega,\mathbf{q}\right)$$

3D: Im
$$\Pi^{R}(\omega, q) = -\nu_{3} \frac{\omega}{v_{F}q} \theta \left(q - |\omega/v_{F}|\right)$$

$$\text{Im}V^R(\omega, q) = -U^2 \text{Im}\Pi^R(\omega, q)$$

 $\left(\mathrm{Re}\Sigma^R\right)_{\mathrm{non-an}}\propto arepsilon^3\ln|arepsilon|$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \underline{2\mathsf{D}:} & -\mathrm{Im}\Sigma^{R}(\omega) & \sim & \frac{U^{2}}{v_{F}^{2}}m\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}d\omega\omega\int_{\sim|\omega|/v_{F}}^{\sim k_{F}}\frac{dq}{q}\\ & & \sim & \frac{U^{2}}{v_{F}}m\varepsilon^{2}\ln\frac{E_{F}}{|\varepsilon|}.\\ & & \mathbf{Re}\Sigma \propto \varepsilon |\varepsilon| \end{array}$$



D. Maslov, Les Houches, 2005

Landau Fermi liquid 1957-58

Interacting system of electrons explained by « **quasiparticles** » « adiabatic evolution of the fermion into an interactive environment » Same quantum numbers as free electrons

Renormalization of quasiparticle mass increases the specific heat $C_v^* = C_v m^*/m$ (but still linear in T)



Quasiparticle lifetime: in ϵ^2 or T² Phase space argument

Fermi liquid justified as long as: $\tau(\epsilon) >> \hbar/\epsilon$

Book by Pines and Nozières (1966)

Phase space argument $\frac{1}{\tau} \propto T^2$ $\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} = 2\pi \sum_{\varepsilon',\omega} \int d^{D}q |V(\omega,q)|^{2} n_{\varepsilon} (1-n_{\varepsilon-\omega}) n_{\varepsilon'} (1-n_{\varepsilon'+\omega})$

Particles can only scatter into unoccupied levels (Pauli principle)

Applications

- Good metals
- He₃
- Semiconducting heterostructures
- Heavy Fermions; Kondo physics



Dimensional Reduction and New Physics

3 Lectures :

1D physics for electrons

Luttinger paradigm, Mott transition, Spin Chains

Dimensional crossovers, exotic SC, challenges in 2D

Carbon nanotubes & graphene: From Luttinger to 2D Dirac fermions

1D prototypes

• Why is 1D relevant? A variety of Systems



Carbon Nanotubes Quantum wires







TMTSF molecules

Edge states

Atoms in optical lattices

Green function approach...

Free electron gas:

$$G_{ret}(\vec{k},t) = -i\theta(t)\exp{-i\xi_{\vec{k}}t}$$

$$A(\vec{k},\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \Im m G_{ret}(\vec{k},\omega) = \delta(\omega - \xi_{\vec{k}})$$

$$G_{ret}(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \xi_{\vec{k}} + i0^+}$$

electron pole in spectral function

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hline \textbf{Fermi liquid:} & G_{ret}(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\vec{k}}}{\omega - \xi_{\vec{k}}^{*} + i\Gamma_{\vec{k}}} + G_{inc}(\vec{k},\omega) \\ & G_{ret}(\vec{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \xi_{\vec{k}} - \Sigma(\vec{k},\omega)} & \Gamma_{\vec{k}} \propto \Im m\Sigma(\vec{k},\omega) \end{array}$$

No Landau quasiparticle in 1D

Karyn Le Hur, PRL 2005 Karyn Le Hur, PRB **74**, 165104 (2006)

• Lifetime in 1D?

Take an electron with energy E, wavevector k: $E = \pm v_F k$

energy conservation = momentum conservation

$$\Im m \prod_{\pm} (q, \omega) = \frac{\omega}{2v_F} \delta(\omega \mp v_F q)$$



$$\Im m\Sigma(k \to 0, E, T) \sim -\left(\frac{Ua}{v_F}\right)^2 \max(E, T)$$

Small angle diagrams cancel each other for spinless electrons!

2 regimes for Green's function

 $\Re e\Sigma(E) \to \alpha^2 \ln(|E|)$ where $\alpha \sim Ua/v_F$

$$\mathcal{Z}(k,E) \sim (E - v_F k)^{\alpha^2} \to m/m^* \to \mathcal{Z}(k=0,E)$$

<u>T=0</u> Mass renormalization effects dominate power law decay of the electron Green function in time

<u>tT >>1</u> $\Im_m\Sigma$ more important Exponential decay of the electron Green's function

(Spinful electrons: forward diagrams donot cancel); need exact solution: spin-charge separation...

 $\tau_F^{-1} \propto \alpha^2 T$

Interference measurements



GaAs 1D ballistic ring Hansen et al. PRB 2001

L: half-perimeter of the ring T: traversal time $\sim L/v_F$

TT>>1: Aharonov-Bohm interference decays as exp-T/T_F

Exact (Luttinger) theory predicts that T_{F}^{-1} remains linear in T at all the orders in U

1D: Hard-core bosons → fermions

Jordan-Wigner, 1928 (See notes)

$$c_j = \exp\left(i\pi \sum_{j' < j} n_{j'}\right) b_j$$

1

$$[b_i, b_j] = [b_i^{\dagger}, b_j^{\dagger}] = [b_i^{\dagger}, b_j] = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$
$$\{b_j^{\dagger}, b_j\} = 1$$

XY model in 1D becomes free fermions (2D more difficult!)

Long-distance properties of 1D Hubbard model: Let us forget the hard-core constraint (?). From electron to (free) boson wave theory: <u>Bosonization</u>

Luttinger Paradigm

Tomonaga 1950 following Bloch 1933

particle/hole pair "excitations" $\rho_{+}^{e}(q) = \sum_{k} a_{k+q}^{\dagger} a_{k}$ and $\rho_{-}^{e}(q) = \sum_{k} b_{k+q}^{\dagger} b_{k}$ Diagram waves

Plasmon waves:

$$\omega(q) = |q| \sqrt{\left(v_F + \frac{g_4(q)}{2\pi}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{g_2(q)}{2\pi}\right)^2}$$

 g_4 : forward scattering and g_2 : backward scattering

Electrons in 1D essentially behave as boson waves

See Notes and references!





Brief Summary

Particle-hole pairs \rightarrow <u>Plasmons</u> Linear spectrum at small q

Charge plasmons propagate at the « speed » v: $vg = v_F$ and $g \approx 1$ -U/E_F (g<1 is the Luttinger parameter)

Spin-Charge separation: Hubbard model Spin part: Luttinger model with $g_s=1$ and $v_s=v_F$

$$H = \frac{v}{2} \int_0^L dx \left[\frac{1}{g} \left(\partial_x \phi \right)^2 + g \left(\partial_x \theta \right)^2 \right]$$

 $\partial_t^2 \theta = v^2 \partial_x^2 \theta$

Φ Charge modeΘ Superfluid phase



Wave (string) theory

 $k_{\rm B}T < (v, v_{\rm s})/a$

Absence of order at finite T

Large S, spin wave theory, for ferromagnetic spin chain J: Heisenberg coupling

Absence of order II



F. < F. for any finite T

can continue with more domains: absence of phase transition at finite T...

Mermin-Wagner Theorem : No long range order (i.e. no phase transition) in ID or 2D

New excitations "spinons"

AF Neel order unstable in 1D: breakdown of Holstein-Primakoff spin-wave theory

I. Zaliznyak, Nature Materials 4, 273 (2005)

Example: KCuF₃



S(0,E) (arbitrary units)

Renormalization Group

R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 129 (1994)

Gaussian theory unrenormalized

In spin sector, extra backward scattering term which is marginally irrelevant for repulsive interactions

For attractive interactions, it opens a spin gap: 1D BCS state or Luther-Emery liquid

C. Bourbonnais and L. G. Caron, Int. J. Mod Phys B **5** 1033 (1991) J. Solyom, Adv Phys **28**, 209 (1979)

Next Step:

- Electron spectral function
- Spin-charge separation
- Charge fractionalization



<u>New tools to probe low-dimensional Systems:</u> Momentum-resolved tunneling, cold atomic systems

Momentum-resolved tunneling



B plays the role of momentum Bias voltage V embodies energy (frequency)

Transverse field

Symmetric gauge: $\overrightarrow{A} = (-By, Bx, 0)/2$ <u>Landau gauge</u>: $A_y = xB$

Phase accumulated during tunneling xBd Boost in momentum $\delta k_x \propto eBd = q_B$

Spin-Charge separation



See Anderson & Ren, Giamarchi's book, K. Le Hur PRB 74, 165104 (2006) for finite T

Charge Fractionalization I

Electron: not a good eigenstate (quasiparticle) of Luttinger theory

$$[H,\Psi^{\dagger}] \neq E\Psi^{\dagger}$$

Exact (chiral) eigenstates:

$$L_{\pm}(x,t) = \exp{-i\sqrt{\pi}N_{\pm}\theta_{\pm}(x,t)}$$
$$\theta_{\pm} = \theta \mp \phi/g$$

Pham, Lederer, Gabay, 1999

Charge Fractionalization II

Suppose that we inject N electrons in a Luttinger liquid We denote N_+^e and N_-^e the injected electrons at the 2 Fermi points: $J = (N_+^e - N_-^e)$ This produces right and left excitations with charge N_+ and N_-

One gets simple conservation laws:

$$(N+ + N-) = N$$

 $v(N+ - N-) = vgJ$

Current in Luttinger theory

In particular, 1 electron at $-k_F$ gives: $N_{-} = (1+g)/2 = f$ and $N_{+} = (1-g)/2 = (1-f)$

Karyn Le Hur, Bertrand I. Halperin, Amir Yacoby, Annals of Physics (2008) in press

Gedanken Experiment

We ignore measuring leads...





Universal quantity: $A = (I_S^- - I_S^+)/I_S = (2f-1) = g$

Shot-noise in the current S = 2e*I where e*=fe or (1-f)e

Observation of electron charge by Millikan 1910 Observation of "fractional charge" at the edges of quantum Hall systems Saclay (Saminadayar/Glattli) & Weizmann (Heiblum et al.) in 1997

How to measure charge "f"?

H. Steinberg, G. Barak, A. Yacoby, L. Pfeiffer, K.W. West, B. I. Halperin, K. Le Hur





Measured asymmetry:

 $A_{\rm S} = (I_{\rm L} - I_{\rm R}) / I_{\rm S}$

One can get rid of couplings with "probes": 2-terminal conductance

$$\frac{A_S(2e^2/h)}{G_2} = \frac{1}{g} \left(\frac{I_S^- - I_S^+}{I_S} \right) = 1$$



Mott Transition

3D: U<<t one expects Fermi liquid U>>t electrons localize (one per atomic orbital): charge gap ~ U

Theory of the Mott transition? Brinkmann-Rice (1970) Exact solution in infinite dimensions

Rigorous solution also in 1D: Lieb-Wu (1968) versus bosonization

-Half-filled band: Mott transition for all U>0, umklapps

-Mott gap ~ exp-U/E_F at small U



Giamarchi's book

Commensurate-Incommensurate transition

Analogy to a <u>doped band insulator</u> with "holons": Rigorous proof



g ~ 1/2 for all U and $v\sim\delta$

Umklapp scattering can be refermionized for g=1/2

Mott physics irrelevant when doping δ > Mott gap

Review: H.J. Schulz, 1995 Emery, Luther, Peschel, 1976

3D Cold Atomic Fermions

T. Esslinger et al. (ETH Zuerich, 2008)



Confinement

Possible 1D phases in higher dimensions:

1)Start with a strong Mott gap along the chains Suppress the transverse hopping Case of quasi-1D organic material: TMTTF

K. Le Hur, 2002

- Long-range interactions: *sliding Luttinger phase* A. Vishwanath & D. Carpentier, 2000
 Emery, Fradkin, Kivelson, cond-mat/0001077
- Edge states of Quantum Hall systems: Lorentz confinement Gapless edge U(1) mode

Spin-incoherent regime

Greg Fiete, Karyn Le Hur, Leon Balents, PRB 2005 & 2006

r_s>>1: low density n

$$r_s = \frac{E_C}{E_K} \sim \frac{m^* e^2}{\hbar^2 \epsilon_d}$$

$$J \ll T \ll \varepsilon_F$$



- "phonons" $\omega_{\rm ZB} \sim \epsilon_{\rm F} \ {\rm r_s}^{1/2}$
- spin exchange $J \sim \varepsilon_F e^{-\alpha \sqrt{r_s}} \ll \varepsilon_F$

No coherent single-particle propagation Transport: analogy to spinless electrons (no spin diffusion)

See also K. Matveev (2004)



Conclusion of Lecture 1

1 dimension is far from Fermi liquid: Luttinger paradigm Rigorous calculations can be performed: Bosonization, Bethe Ansatz,...

- -Spin-Charge separation
 -Charge fractionalization
- -Commensurate-Incommensurate transition: Mott physics
- -Interesting electron spectral function

Can be tested in various systems: quantum wires, spin chains, cold atoms

Next step: From 1D to 2D... Lecture 2 Superconductivity close to the Mott state