

## Planckian dissipation in a strange metal Gaël Grissonnanche

College de France - Workshop on Strange Metals

## What is a strange metal?



Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009



Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009



Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009



Bruin et al. Science 2013

Planckian limit  $\frac{1}{\tau} = \alpha \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{\hbar}$  with  $\alpha \approx 1$ 





Material	Doping <sup>a</sup>	α
Bi2212	p = 0.23	1.1 <u>+</u> 0.3
Bi2201	<i>p</i> ~ 0.4	1.0 ± 0.4
LSCO	p = 0.26	0.9±0.3
Nd-LSCO	p = 0.24	0.7 ± 0.4
РССО	x = 0.17	$0.8 \pm 0.2$
LCCO	x = 0.15	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.3
TMTSF	P = 11  kbar	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.3

Bruin et al. Science 2013

Legros et al. Nature Physics 2019

## QUESTION

With such strong scattering on electrons, what remains of what is known for metals?

## QUESTIONS

Is the Fermi surface strange? Is the scattering rate strange? Are the other transport properties strange?



Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009 Proust et al. PRL 2002



Abdel-Jawad et al. Nat. Phys. 2006

Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009 Proust et al. PRL 2002



Abdel-Jawad et al. Nat. Phys. 2006

Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009 Proust et al. PRL 2002



Daou et al. Nat. Phys. 2009 Proust et al. PRL 2002

Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange?

Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange?

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange?

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange?

## Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange?

Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange?

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange?

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange?

# Part 1 The Fermi surface

Grissonnanche et al., Nature 595, 667 (2021)

Fang\*, Grissonnanche\* et al., Nat. Phys. 18, 558 (2022)

## Collaborators









Anaëlle Legros Simon Verret Francis Laliberté Clément Collignon Louis Taillefer



Jianshi Zhou



Paul Goddard



David Graf

## Angle Dependent MagnetoResistance













### CONCLUSION

No matter how strong interactions are between electrons, there is a well-defined Fermi surface in the strange metal

## Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange?

## Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange?

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange?

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange?

# Part 2 The scattering rate

Grissonnanche et al., Nature 595, 667 (2021)

Scattering rate 
$$1/\tau(k) = 1/\tau_{iso}$$



$$1/\tau(k) = 1/\tau_{iso} + 1/\tau_{aniso} (k)$$













$$\frac{1}{\tau(k)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{iso}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{aniso}} (k)$$





$$1/\tau(k) = 1/\tau_{iso} + 1/\tau_{aniso}(k)$$





$$1/\tau(k) = 1/\tau_{iso} + 1/\tau_{aniso}(k)$$





$$1/\tau(k) = 1/\tau_{iso} + 1/\tau_{aniso}(k)$$


#### Part 2 - Scattering rate



Scattering rate

$$\frac{1}{\tau(k)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{iso}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{aniso}} (k)$$



#### Part 2 - Scattering rate

- 1<sup>st</sup> result: anisotropy is elastic
- 2<sup>nd</sup> result: Isotropic T-linear scattering rate
- 3<sup>rd</sup> result: Planckian limit

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \alpha \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{\hbar}$$

$$\alpha = 1.2 \pm 0.4$$

Scattering rate

$$\frac{1}{\tau(k)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{iso}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{aniso}} (k)$$





# To Planckian scattering



#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. ADMR directly measures the momentum-dependent scattering rate and finds an isotropic, 7-linear scattering rate of Planckian magnitude.
- 2. Pure 7-linear resistivity occurs when the scattering rate reaches the Planckian limit for all directions of electron motion
- 3. The semi-classical approach seems to work to describe the ADMR of the strange metal in cuprates

Part 2 - Scattering rate

#### Boltzmann transport



Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange?

Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange?

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange?

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange?

# Part 3 Magnetoresistance

Ataei et al., arXiv:2203.05035

## Collaborators



Amirreza Ataei Adrien Gourgout Lu Chen Jordan Baglo Marie-Eve Boulanger Francis Laliberté Sven Badoux Nicolas Doron-Leyraud Louis Taillefer





Vincent O liviero Siham Benhabib David Vignolles Cyril Proust



Jianshi Zhou



Shimpei Ono



Hidenori Takagi

#### Part 3 - Magnetoresistance

7-linear R B-linear MR



Cooper et al., Science 2009

Giraldo-Gallo et al., Science 2018

Quadrature scaling  

$$\rho(H,T) - \rho(0,0) \propto \sqrt{(\alpha k_{\rm B}T)^2 + (\gamma \mu_{\rm B} \mu_0 H)^2}$$
BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>
BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

Hayes et al., Nat. Phys. 2016

$$\rho_{ab}(H,T) = \mathcal{F}(T) + \sqrt{(\alpha k_{\rm B}T)^2 + (\gamma \mu_{\rm B}\mu_0 H)^2}$$



Ayres et al., Nature 2021

### QUESTION

#### Is B-linear MR another facet of Planckian dissipation?



#### Part 3 - Magnetoresistance

#### Boltzmann transport







Hinlopen et al., arXiv:2201.03292







#### CONCLUSION

Electrons with Planckian scattering seem to obey standard orbital motion in a magnetic field

Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange?

Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange?

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange?

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange?

# Part 4 Seebeck coefficient

Gourgout\*, Grissonnanche\* et al., PRX 12, 011037 (2022) Georges & Mravlje PRR 3, 043132 (2021)

## Collaborators

# Experiment



Adrien Gourgout Francis Laliberté Amirreza Ataei Lu Chen Simon Verret Nicolas Doiron-Leyraud Louis Taillefer







Theory



#### Antoine Georges



















#### Boltzmann transport







#### The band structure ALONE fails to explain Seebeck

To tune the sign of Seebeck the scattering rate must be energy dependent

$$\frac{1}{\tau(T)} = \frac{\alpha k_B T}{\hbar}$$

To tune the sign of Seebeck the scattering rate must be energy dependent

$$1/\tau_{\rm MFL}(\epsilon,T) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha k_B T}{\hbar}\right)^2 + (a\epsilon)^2}$$

Marginal Fermi Liquid

To tune the sign of Seebeck the scattering rate must be particle-hole asymmetric!

$$1/\tau_{\rm SMFL}(\epsilon,T) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha k_B T}{\hbar}\right)^2 + (a_{\pm}\epsilon)^2}$$

Skew Marginal Fermi Liquid

 $a_+ \neq a_-$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\epsilon < 0$ and  $\alpha = 1.2$  from ADMR

### To tune the sign of Seebeck the scattering rate must be particle-hole asymmetric!

$$1/\tau_{\rm SMFL}(\epsilon,T) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha k_B T}{\hbar}\right)^2 + (a_{\pm}\epsilon)^2}$$







The Seebeck coefficient has now the right SIGN and AMPLITUDE


The ANISOTROPY of the elastic scattering rate is essential to explain c-axis Seebeck

## CONCLUSION

The Seebeck coefficient reflects another aspect of the strange metal and the Planckian dissipation, with an addition of an intrinsic particle-hole asymmetry and that obeys  $\epsilon/T$  scaling.

## Conclusion

Part 1: Is the Fermi surface strange? No

Part 2: Is the scattering rate strange? Yes

Part 3: Is the magnetoresistance strange? No(?)

Part 4: Is the Seebeck coefficient strange? Yes