

2D SELF FOCUSING OF A LASER BEAM INTO A PLASMA: PCGO APPROACH

<u>Alessandro Ruocco</u>¹, Guillaume Duchateau¹, Stefan Huller², Vladimir Tikhonchuk^{1,3}

¹CELIA, 351 Cours de la Liberation, 33400 Talence, France
 ²Centre de Physique Théorique, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris Palaiseau, France
 ³ELI-Beamlines, Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 25241 Doln Brezany, Czech Republic

This work has been partially carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053.

FRAMEWORK AND MOTIVATION: ICF-SI

- (a) P_{shock} Shock ignition • Shock ignition (SI): decoupling compression phase from the ignition phase^[1] - shock pulse Eshock Conventional aser power drive pulse P_{main} Shock ignition • Spike-pulse: 10¹⁶ W/cm² hot spot in ponderomotive self-focusing regime^[2] + main assembl drive Emai other LPIs Novel interest in self-focusing • Time tshock
- Need: theoretical study of nonlinear laser-plasma interactions -> fluid codes: CHIC
- In Chic, new module allowing to approximate laser intensity envelope 2D planar PCGO -> improvement of laser description
- Ponderomotive effects and self-focusing never properly investigated in the PCGO context

R. Betti *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 155001 (2007), L. J. Perkins et alt Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 045004, 2009
 D. Batani et alt, Nucl. Fusion 54 (2014) 054009, 2014



• Novel interest in self-focusing

• Ponderomotive effects and self-focusing never properly investigated in the PCGO context

AIM

STUDY OF SELF-FOCUSING OF A GAUSSIAN BEAM INTO THE PLASMA IN THE PCGO APPROACH: BENCHMARK WITH HARMONY CODE

PONDEROMOTIVE SELF FOCUSING

- Non linear laser-plasma interaction, long pulse (ps-ns)
- Transverse averaged force on electrons -> density changing -> Laser pinching -> Intensity increasing

• Main physical parameters^[1]:

3/11

 $\frac{P}{P_c}$

• Beam power normalized to the critical power

$$\simeq 1.8 \ \frac{I_i \lambda_0^2}{10^{15} W cm^{-2} \mu m^2} \ \frac{n_0}{n_c} \ \frac{1 KeV}{T_e} \ \left(\frac{w_i}{\lambda_0}\right)^2$$

• plasma density saturation

$$\alpha = \frac{w_i^2 \omega_{0p}^2}{c^2}$$

[1] V.T. Tikhonchuk et al, Physics of Plasmas 4, 4369 (1997)

RAY-TRACING AND PCGO

 <u>NOVELTY in CHIC</u>: 2D extension of the ray tracing in planar geometry Thick Ray approach^[1], based on the Paraxial Complex Geometrical Optics (PCGO)^[2]



1] A Colaïtis, G Duchateau, P Nicolaï, V Tikhonchuk, Physical Review E 89 (3), 033101 2] Yu. A. Kravtsov, P. Berczynski, Gaussian beams in inhomogeneous media: A review, 2007, Volume 51, Issue 1, pp 1–36

COMPARISON HARMONY PCGO-CHIC: MODELS

I

2D Harmony^[1]

• Paraxial wave-based solver

5/11

$$L_{par}(E) = -\frac{i\omega_0}{2n_c}(N_0 - N_{eq})E$$
$$L_{par} = \partial_t + v_g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{c}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

2D CHIC-PGCO

• Ray-tracing+laser intensity

$$\partial_{\tau}^2 \mathbf{r} \propto \nabla^2 n$$

$$I_0(\tau') = \frac{c\sqrt{\epsilon'_c}\epsilon_0}{2} |u(0,\tau')|^2 = \frac{c\epsilon_0}{2} |\tilde{A}(0)|^2 \left(\frac{w_0}{w}\right) \exp\left(-k_{\rm FS} \int_0^{\tau'} c\epsilon''_c d\tilde{\tau}'\right)$$

COMPARISON HARMONY PCGO-CHIC: MODELS

2D Harmony^[1]

• Paraxial wave-based solver

5/11

$$L_{par}(E) = -\frac{i\omega_0}{2n_c}(N_0 - N_{eq})E$$
$$L_{par} = \partial_t + v_g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{c}{2\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

2D CHIC-PGCO

• Ray-tracing+laser intensity

$$\partial_{\tau}^{2} \mathbf{r} \propto \nabla^{2} n$$
$$I_{0}(\tau') = \frac{c\sqrt{\epsilon_{c}'}\epsilon_{0}}{2} |u(0,\tau')|^{2} = \frac{c\epsilon_{0}}{2} |\tilde{A}(0)|^{2} \left(\frac{w_{0}}{w}\right) \exp\left(-k_{\mathrm{FS}} \int_{0}^{\tau'} c\epsilon_{c}'' d\tilde{\tau}'\right)$$

APPROXIMATION

REFERENCE

[1] Huller S. et al, Physics of Plasmas 2006; 13: 22703

COMPARISON HARMONY PCGO-CHIC: SIMULATIONS PARAMETERS

- Propagation of a Gaussian beam into an underdense, nonabsorbing plasma with constant density
- Parameters:

6/11

✓ Power: P/Pc=1-2-4-6 ✓ Density: $n/n_c=0, 1-0, 05$

- Plasma temperature T_e=1 KeV
- Laser pulse duration= 250 ps
- Wavelength λ =1,05 µm
- Aperture f#=30



2000 λ

RESULTS COMPARISON PCGO HARMONY: ON-AXIS INTENSITY

2500



P/Pc=1 $n/n_c=0,1$

RESULTS COMPARISON PCGO HARMONY: FILAMENTATION

laser З

'fort.49'using 1:2:5







CONTROLLING SELF-FOCUSING BY SUPERPOSITION OF GAUSSIAN



- Gaussain beam intensity split on several beamlets
- Beamlets focalized randomly in the plasma

10/11

Allowed to control total beam self-focusing

Come to see my poster





11/11

CONCLUSIONS

- MOTIVATION:
 - 1. self-focusing concerning for SI approach;
 - 2. checking self-focusing with PCGO-CHIC
- Preliminary study of Self-focusing within CHIC-PCGO
- Elementary Gaussian beam self-focusing: strong dependency on critical power:
 - ✓ agreement with Harmony for P/Pc<2
 - ✓ Filamentation for P/Pc>2: no predictable with PCGO-> intensity increasing divergence

PERSPECTIVES

- Continue the study: different densities- higher power: dependency on n?
- Controlling self-focusing via superposition of Gaussian beamlets
- Modelling real ICF-SI laser beams: spatial temporal smoothed beams
- Coupling self-focusing with plasma flow/beam bending
- Cross-beam energy transfer in the ponderomotive regime

MERCI DE

VOTRE ATTENTION

